IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

MONDAY, THE 22ND JANUARY 2007 / 2ND MAGHA 1928

Con.Case(C).No. 1382 of 2006(S)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN OP.39008/2002 Dated 02/11/2005

.....

PETITIONERS:

---

1. SMT.ALILYAMMA, D/O.THOMAS, AGED 40 YEARS
   AKKAMATTATHIL HOUSE, PALAKKAYAM P.O.,
   MANNARGHAT TALUK, PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

2. SMT.THRESSIAMMA, D/O.THOMAS,
   AGED 34 YEARS, AKKAMATTATHIL HOUSE,
   PALAKKAYAM P.O., MANNARGHAT TALUK,
   PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.K.MOHANAKANNAN
SMT.A.R.PRAVITHA

RESPONDENTS:

MR.UNNIKRISHNAN
(AGE & FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE
PETITIONERS) TAHSILDAR,
MANNARGHAT, PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.I.V.PRAMOD

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 22/01/2007 ALONGWITH CONTEMPT CASE (C) NO.1491 OF 2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

- -

Cont. Case (C) NO.1382 & 1491 OF 2006

- -

Dated this the 22nd day of January 2007

JUDGMENT

These contempt cases are filed for non compliance with judgment by 3rd respondent. However, Government Pleader reported that 3rd respondent could not take any action on account of injunction granted by Munsiff. The I.A. filed by Tahsildar is allowed by the Munsiff by order dated 3-1-2007 and injunction is no longer in course. Therefore, the 3rd respondent will proceed in terms of the judgment and take action without any delay.

2. In the circumstances, 3rd respondent will comply with the judgment and if defaulter has transferred the property, he will consider the validity of the transaction with reference to Sections 26A and 26B of the KGST Act and Section 53 of the T.P. Act and then proceed for recovery and complete the same within six weeks from the date of production of a copy of this judgment.

Contempt cases are disposed of as above.

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE

jes